

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/028,129	12/20/2001	Christine J. Landry-Coltrain	83466LMB	2382	
7590 08/28/2007 Paul A. Leipold Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company			EXAMINER		
			SCHWARTZ, PAMELA R		
343 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Rochester, NY	14650-2201	1774			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			08/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
CONTROL NO.		PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	
10000100	10/00/0001	I AMBRICACIONE ARTERIA	001444

10028129

12/20/2001

LANDRY-COLTRAIN ET AL.

83466LMB

Paul A. Leipold
Patent Legal Staff
Pamela R.. Schwartz

Patent Legal Staff
Eastman Kodak Company
343 State Street
Rochester, NY 14650-2201

ART UNIT PAPER

1774

20070826

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/028,129	LANDRY-COLTRAIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Pamela R. Schwartz	1774	

·	Pamela R. Schwartz	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 August 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or of TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.	•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	•	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1,2,6-9,11-14,18,29-37,40,42 and 46. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 25. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	will not be entered, or b) □ w	•	_
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration has been consideration has been consideration because: See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	allowance
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	PAMELATA. SON PRIMARY EXAM	VARTZ NIKER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants' response to the final rejection of April 19, 2007 is not considered to be a complete response that places the application in condition for allowance because applicants did not respond to paragraph 3 of that office action. Applicants have not completed the record in this application by amending the specification or stating why no amendment would be made. The examiner did not make a formal requirement for this addition to the specification because applicants' representative, Ms. Blank, agreed to make the requested modifications to the specification in an interview on September 8, 2006.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see the reasons set forth above and paragraph 3 of the final rejection. Applicants' response was non-responsive to paragraph 3 and failed to complete the record as was necessary to place the application in condition for allowance.